

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/618,198 | 07/11/2003 | Todd D. Lambert | 2802-160-026 | 4255 |
| 7590 05/06/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Christopher H. Hunter | | | HWU, DAVIS D | |
| PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION 6035 Parkland Boulevard Cleveland, OH 44124-4141 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3752 | - |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Cummons | 10/618,198 | LAMBERT ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Davis D. Hwu | 3752 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 | April 2005. | - | | | |
| ·= · · | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | | osecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | • | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bure | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | at of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) | ratent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| .S. Patent and Trademark Office | | • | | | |
| PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050503 | | | | | |

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/618,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and remarks of April 11, 2005 are acknowledged and entered and have been fully considered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stockel. For this action, please refer to the marked up copy of Figure I of Stockel disclosed herein. Stockel shows a receptacle for a nozzle of a coupling device, the receptacle including a valve body including an internal valve 20, and a collar radially outwardly disposed from the valve body and defining therewith an annular cavity to receive a collar of the nozzle; the collar of the receptacle including a series of bearings 30 spaced along an interior surface of the collar which can be received in helical channels of the nozzle to secure the nozzle to the receptacle when the receptacle is rotated in one direction with respect to the nozzle. The bearings 30 secures the nozzle to the receptacle by preventing the receptacle from moving axially away from the nozzle coupling device because the bearings are locked onto the drive shaft 20 when the receptacle is rotated with respect to the nozzle in assembling the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-14 are allowed.

5. Claims 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The valve body of Stockel comprises a valve plate 20 connected to a drive shaft 20a in which the combination of the plate 20 and the shaft 20a forms a poppet valve. Also, the bearings of Stockel, as view from Figure 1 are spaced in discrete circumferential increments as recited since Figure 1 shows a side cross sectional view of the device. Applicant has recited "which can be received in helical channels of the nozzle" in which the phrase "can be" is not a positive recitation. Thus due to the orientation of the bearings 30 as seen in Figure 1, the series of bearings spaced in discrete circumferential increments around an interior surface of the collar also "can be" received in helical channels.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3752

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davis Hwu

DAVIS HWU PRIMARY EXAMINER